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## FY2012 Budgeted Expenditures (City \& School)



## FY2012 Budgeted Expenditures (City)



## FY2012 Budgeted Employee Benefits (City)



## FY2012 Budgeted Expenditures (School Dept.)



## Budget Projections - Fiscal Years 2012-2017

|  | FY 2012 <br> Approved Budget | FY2013 <br> Projected Budget | FY2014 <br> Projected Budget | FY2015 Projected Budget | FY2016 Projected Budget | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY2017 } \\ \text { Projected Budget } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projected Revenue - City | \$430,177,546 | \$425,807,826 | \$422,364,523 | \$423,060,441 | \$424,069,109 | \$425,104,746 |
| Projected Revenue - Schools | \$183,656,396 | \$189,836,277 | \$196,016,158 | \$202,196,039 | \$208,375,920 | \$214,555,801 |
| Total Revenue | \$613,833,942 | \$615,644,103 | \$618,380,681 | \$625,256,480 | \$632,445,029 | \$639,660,547 |
| Projected Expenditures - City | \$305,280,935 | \$325,727,029 | \$337,050,673 | \$344,181,898 | \$348,838,359 | \$351,347,453 |
| Projected Expenditures - School | \$308,553,007 | \$321,138,656 | \$331,782,382 | \$346,470,504 | \$351,502,372 | \$356,311,234 |
| Total Expenditures | \$613,833,942 | \$646,865,685 | \$668,833,055 | \$690,652,402 | \$700,340,731 | \$707,658,687 |
| Proj. Budget Surplus/(Deficit) | \$0 | $(\$ 31,221,582)$ | (\$50,452,374) | (\$65,395,922) | (\$67,895,702) | $(\$ 67,998,140)$ |
| Source: Administration's Five-Year Budget Projection Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Forecast of City’s Annual Required Contribution 2012-2042

(Assuming an Investment Rate of Return of 8.25\%)

- Assuming an Investment Rate of Return of $8.25 \%$, Providence's Annual Required Contribution (ARC) to the Employees' Retirement System is forecasted to increase from \$55.8 million in fiscal 2012 to $\$ 207.4$ million in fiscal 2039.



## Funding the ARC over the Next 10 Years

(Assuming an Investment Rate of Return of 8.25\%)

| Fiscal Year | Gross Tax Levy <br> (4.0\% Annual Increases) | Total City Annual Required Contribution (ARC) | Annual Pension Contribution (\$) Increase | Percentage Increase to ARC | Maximum Levy Increase Allowed By Law (4.0\%) | Portion of Levy Increase (\$) Required to Fund ARC (92.6\% Collection Rate) | Remaining Levy Increase Available to Fund All Other Expenditures (4.0\%) | Percentage of Levy Increase Required to Fund ARC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | \$324,460,461 | \$55,811,734 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | \$337,438,879 | \$61,571,167 | \$5,854,196 | 10.49\% | \$12,978,418 | \$6,322,026 | \$6,656,393 | 48.71\% |
| 2014 | \$350,936,435 | \$64,524,833 | \$3,100,452 | 5.04\% | \$13,497,555 | \$3,348,220 | \$10,149,335 | 24.81\% |
| 2015 | \$364,973,892 | \$67,622,210 | \$3,250,871 | 5.04\% | \$14,037,457 | \$3,510,660 | \$10,526,798 | 25.01\% |
| 2016 | \$379,572,848 | \$70,870,327 | \$3,408,626 | 5.04\% | \$14,598,956 | \$3,681,022 | \$10,917,934 | 25.21\% |
| 2017 | \$394,755,762 | \$74,276,558 | \$3,574,076 | 5.04\% | \$15,182,914 | \$3,859,693 | \$11,323,221 | 25.42\% |
| 2018 | \$410,545,992 | \$77,848,643 | \$3,747,600 | 5.05\% | \$15,790,230 | \$4,047,084 | \$11,743,146 | 25.63\% |
| 2019 | \$426,967,832 | \$81,594,700 | \$3,929,593 | 5.05\% | \$16,421,840 | \$4,243,621 | \$12,178,219 | 25.84\% |
| 2020 | \$444,046,545 | \$85,523,247 | \$4,120,470 | 5.05\% | \$17,078,713 | \$4,449,752 | \$12,628,962 | 26.05\% |
| 2021 | \$461,808,407 | \$89,643,221 | \$4,320,668 | 5.05\% | \$17,761,862 | \$4,665,948 | \$13,095,914 | 26.27\% |
| 2022 | \$480,280,743 | \$93,963,995 | \$4,530,641 | 5.05\% | \$18,472,336 | \$4,892,701 | \$13,579,635 | 26.49\% |

## ARC Funding as a Portion of Budget

(Assuming an Investment Rate Return of 8.25\%)

- Based upon the Administration's five-year expenditure projections, annual tax increases will be required disproportionately to fund the ARC.
- For example, in FY2014 the ARC is projected to represent $9.65 \%$ of the total City budget. Yet, $24.81 \%$ of the levy increase of $4.0 \%$ is required to fund the increase to the ARC.
- The large increase in the FY2013 ARC is due predominantly to a lowering of the assumed discount

| Fiscal Year | Maximum Levy <br> Increase <br> Allowed By Law <br> (4.0\%) | Portion of Levy <br> Increase (\$) <br> Required to Fund <br> ARC (92.6\% <br> Collection Rate) | Remaining <br> Levy Increase <br> Available to <br> Fund All Other <br> Expenditures <br> (4.0\%) | Percentage of <br> Levy Increase <br> Required to <br> Fund ARC | ARC as a <br> Percentage of <br> City Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | $\$ 12,978,418$ | $\$ 6,322,026$ | $\$ 6,656,393$ | $48.71 \%$ | $9.52 \%$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 13,497,555$ | $\$ 3,348,220$ | $\$ 10,149,335$ | $24.81 \%$ | $9.65 \%$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 14,037,457$ | $\$ 3,510,660$ | $\$ 10,526,798$ | $25.01 \%$ | $9.79 \%$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 14,598,956$ | $\$ 3,681,022$ | $\$ 10,917,934$ | $25.21 \%$ | $10.12 \%$ |
| 2017 | $\$ 15,182,914$ | $\$ 3,859,693$ | $\$ 11,323,221$ | $25.42 \%$ | $10.50 \%$ | rate from $8.50 \%$ to $8.25 \%$.

## Forecast of City's Annual Required Contribution 2012-2042

(Assuming an Investment Rate of Return of 7.50\%)

- Assuming an Investment Rate of Return of $7.50 \%$, Providence's Annual Required Contribution (ARC) to the Employees' Retirement System is forecasted to increase from \$55.8 million in fiscal 2012 to $\$ 226$ million in fiscal 2039.



## Funding the ARC over the Next 10 Years

(Assuming an Investment Rate of Return of 7.50\% )

| Fiscal <br> Year | Gross Tax Levy (4.0\% Annual Increases) | Total City Annual Required Contribution (ARC) | Annual Pension Contribution (\$) Increase | Percentage Increase to ARC | Maximum Levy Increase Allowed By Law (4.0\%) | Portion of Levy Increase (\$) Required to Fund ARC (92.6\% Collection Rate) | Remaining Levy Increase Available to Fund All Other Expenditures (4.0\%) | Percentage of Levy Increase Required to Fund ARC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | \$324,460,461 | \$55,811,734 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | \$337,438,879 | \$65,741,221 | \$10,484,035 | 18.78\% | \$12,978,418 | \$11,321,852 | \$1,656,566 | 87.24\% |
| 2014 | \$350,936,435 | \$68,864,322 | \$3,298,243 | 5.02\% | \$13,497,555 | \$3,561,817 | \$9,935,738 | 26.39\% |
| 2015 | \$364,973,892 | \$72,137,972 | \$3,457,146 | 5.02\% | \$14,037,457 | \$3,733,419 | \$10,304,038 | 26.60\% |
| 2016 | \$379,572,848 | \$75,569,476 | \$3,623,753 | 5.02\% | \$14,598,956 | \$3,913,340 | \$10,685,616 | 26.81\% |
| 2017 | \$394,755,762 | \$79,166,497 | \$3,798,441 | 5.03\% | \$15,182,914 | \$4,101,988 | \$11,080,926 | 27.02\% |
| 2018 | \$410,545,992 | \$82,937,073 | \$3,981,603 | 5.03\% | \$15,790,230 | \$4,299,787 | \$11,490,443 | 27.23\% |
| 2019 | \$426,967,832 | \$86,889,633 | \$4,173,653 | 5.03\% | \$16,421,840 | \$4,507,185 | \$11,914,655 | 27.45\% |
| 2020 | \$444,046,545 | \$91,033,018 | \$4,375,024 | 5.04\% | \$17,078,713 | \$4,724,648 | \$12,354,065 | 27.66\% |
| 2021 | \$461,808,407 | \$95,376,501 | \$4,586,171 | 5.04\% | \$17,761,862 | \$4,952,668 | \$12,809,193 | 27.88\% |
| 2022 | \$480,280,743 | \$99,929,808 | \$4,807,572 | 5.04\% | \$18,472,336 | \$5,191,762 | \$13,280,574 | 28.11\% |

[^0]
## ARC Funding as a Portion of Budget

## (Assuming an Investment Rate of Return of 7.50\% )

- Based upon the Administration's five-year expenditure projections, annual tax increases will disproportionately be required to fund the ARC.
- For example, in FY2014 the ARC is projected to represent $10.2 \%$ of the total City budget. Yet, $26.4 \%$ of the levy increase of $4.0 \%$ is required to fund the increase to the ARC.
- The large increase in the FY2013 ARC is due predominantly to a lowering of the assumed discount rate from $8.25 \%$ to $7.5 \%$.

| Fiscal Year | Maximum Levy Increase Allowed By Law (4.0\%) | Portion of Levy Increase (\$) Required to Fund ARC (92.6\% Collection Rate) |  |  | maining Levy Increase Available to and All Other xpenditures (4.0\%) | Percentage of Levy Increase Required to Fund ARC | ARC as a Percentage of City Budget |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | \$ 12,978,418 |  | 11,321,852 |  | 1,656,566 | 87.2\% | 10.1\% |
| 2014 | \$ 13,497,555 |  | 3,561,817 |  | 9,935,738 | 26.4\% | 10.2\% |
| 2015 | \$ 14,037,457 |  | 3,733,419 |  | 10,304,038 | 26.6\% | 10.4\% |
| 2016 | \$ 14,598,956 |  | 3,913,340 |  | 10,685,616 | 26.8\% | 10.7\% |
| 2017 | \$ 15,182,914 | \$ | 4,101,988 |  | 11,080,926 | 27.0\% | 11.1\% |

## Cumulative Impact of Annual Maximum Tax Increases (4.0\%) on a \$200,000 Owner-Occupied Property: 2013-2022



- Currently, a homeowner of a property valued at $\$ 200,000$ has an annual real estate tax bill of $\$ 3,188$.
-If real estate taxes were raised annually at the maximum allowed by state law (4.0\%) over the next ten years, this homeowner would see their annual real estate taxes rise to $\$ 4,719$ for FY2022.
-Cumulatively, from fiscal years 2013 through 2022, this homeowner would pay an additional \$7,926 in taxes due to the annual $4.0 \%$ maximum tax increases.


## Cumulative Impact of Annual Tax Increases Necessary to Fund ARC on Homeowner a \$200,000 Owner Occupied Property: 2013-2022

(Assuming an Investment Rate Return of 8.25\%)


- Currently, a homeowner of a owner-occupied property valued at $\$ 200,000$ has an annual real estate tax bill of $\$ 3,188$.
- If real estate taxes were raised annually to meet only the increase in the ARC, this homeowner would see their annual real estate tax bill rise to $\$ 3,562$ for FY2022.
-Cumulatively, from fiscal years 2013 through 2022, this homeowner would pay an additional \$2,146 in taxes due to the annual tax increases required to fund the ARC.


## Cumulative Impact of Annual Maximum Tax Increases (4.0\%) on Retail Business "A": 2013-2022



- Currently, Business A - a retail business - has an annual real estate tax bill of $\$ 14,005$.
- If real estate taxes were raised annually at the maximum allowed by state law (4.0\%) over the next ten years, Business A would see its annual tax obligation rise to $\$ 20,731$ for FY2022.
-Cumulatively, from fiscal years 2013 through 2022, Business A would pay an additional $\$ 34,821$ in taxes due to the annual maximum tax increases.


## Cumulative Impact of Annual Tax Increases at the Rate Required to Fund the ARC on Retail Business "A": 2013-2022

(Assuming an Investment Rate Return of $\mathbf{8 . 2 5 \%}$ )


- Currently, Business A has an annual real estate tax bill of \$14,005.
-If real estate taxes were raised annually to fund only the increase of the ARC, Business A would see its annual tax obligation rise to $\$ 15,656$ for FY2022.
-Cumulatively, from fiscal years 2013 through 2022, Business A would pay an additional \$9,432 in taxes due to the annual tax increases required to fund the ARC.


## Cumulative Financial Impact of Annual Tax Increases (4.0\%) Manufacturing Business "B": 2013-2022



- Currently, Business B has an annual real estate tax bill of $\$ 132,076$.
- If real estate taxes were raised annually at the maximum allowed by state law (4.0\%) over the next ten years, Business B would see its annual tax obligation rise to $\$ 195,505$ for FY2022.
-Cumulatively, from fiscal years 2013 through 2022, Business B would pay an additional $\$ 328,387$ in taxes due to the annual $4 . .0 \%$ maximum tax increases.

Cumulative Impact of Annual Tax Increases at the Rate Required to Fund the ARC Manufacturing Business B: 2013-2022
(Assuming an Investment Rate Return of 8.25\%)


- Currently, Business B has an annual real estate tax bill of \$132,076.
- If real estate taxes were raised annually to fund only the increase of the ARC, Business B would see its annual tax obligation rise to $\$ 147,599$ for FY2022.
-Cumulatively, from fiscal years 2013 through 2022, Business B would pay an additional $\$ 88,897$ in taxes due to the annual tax increases required to fund the ARC.


[^0]:    * 7.50\% Rate of Return on Investments and 4.0\% Annual Tax Levy Increases

